





Using land-based stations for air-sea interaction studies

Anna Rutgersson¹, Heidi Pettersson², Erik Nilsson¹, Marcus Wallin¹, Erik Sahlée¹, Hans Bergström¹, Lichuan Wu¹ et al.

¹Air-Water Exchange Platform, Uppsala University, <u>anna.rutgersson@met.uu.se</u> ²FMI, Finnish Meteorological Institute



Marine micrometeorological sites

- Ships
- Buoys
- Off-shore
 platforms
- Land-based sites



- Limited flow distortion
- No motion correction
- Relatively easy to access

 Possible land influence

Land-based marine micrometeorological sites

Examples:

Östergarnsholm, Baltic Sea Utö site, Finnish archipelago Penlee Point observatory, Plymouth



Key question – what do the fluxes represent?

Utö Atmospheric and Marine Research Station

COS

Temperature salinity and

http://en.ilmatieteenlaitos.fi/uto

CO.-flux

VOC (7



Suggestion: define the data based on how much land-influence we have.

Disturbances:

- Mesoscale systems (sea-breeze, Low-level jets, upwelling,...)
- 2. Heterogeneous footprint
- 3. Disturbed wave field
- 4. Limited fetch
- 5. Shore zone (combined land-sea footprint)
- 6. Downwind topography



Stable atmospheric conditions: 60% of fluxes originates at

1.5km < x < 5km



x=?

Högström et al (2008)



Define categories

CAT1. Open sea, undisturbed wave field, water side measuring system representative of the flux footprint of the tower. Meso-scale circulation systems might influence the station, but the data can be considered stationary and homogeneous.

CAT2. Disturbed wave field resulting in physical properties different from open sea conditions and likely also heterogeneity of water properties in the foot-print region. In a near surface region the biogeochemical properties can vary even if the physical does not (run-off, biological activity).

CAT3. Mixed land/sea footprint of the data, very heterogeneous conditions, not possible with few water-side measurements to fully represent water-side conditions.



Define categories

CAT1. Marine station. undisturbed wave field. water side measuring sys **1. Open sea conditions** Meso-scale cited ata can be considered stationary and nonogeneous.

CAT2. Distur different from water proper the biogeochemical properties can vary even if the physical does not.

CAT3. Mixed I 3. Shore conditions conditions, not represent water-side conditions.

ry heterogeneous asurements to fully



Quality control

Marine fluxes generally small give often limited signalto-noise ratio:

- Salt contamination on windows requires frequent cleaning or drying of signal (Nilsson et al., 2018, Honkanen et al., 2018).
- Webb correction significant.
- Up-wind disturbances

Nilsson, E., H. Bergström, A. Rutgersson, E. Podgrajsek, M.B. Wallin, G. Bergström, E. Dellwik, S. Landwehr, and B. Ward, 2018: <u>Evaluating Humidity and Sea Salt Disturbances on CO₂ Flux Measurements.</u> *J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol.*, **35**, 859–875, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/JTECH-D-17-0072.1</u>



Salt contamination

Comparison of dired/undried signal, results inconclusive (no impact at the agricultural site):





Agricultural site: Salinity artificially added

CO₂ flux: Li-7200b 'dried'

Indications of a significant difference between the 'dry' and 'wet'



13% enhancecd flux due to salt contamination (in the undried system).



Define categories

CAT1. Marine station. undisturbed wave field. water side measuring sys **1. Open sea conditions** Meso-scale cited ata can be considered stationary and nonogeneous.

CAT2. Distur different from water proper the biogeochemical properties can vary even if the physical does not.

CAT3. Mixed I 3. Shore conditions conditions, not represent water-side conditions.

ry heterogeneous asurements to fully

Use Östergarnsholm site to test criteria

UPPSALA UNIVERSITET

No archipelago. Ideal bottom topography. Shallow island. Relatively undisturbed site.









Define categories Ö-holm

- 1. Physical (stress, heat and humidity fluxes; waves, SST)
- 2. Biogeochemical (carbon; runoff, biological activities)

Sector	Physical	Biogeo- chemical
45 <wd<80< th=""><th>2</th><th>2</th></wd<80<>	2	2
80 <wd<160< th=""><th>1</th><th>1</th></wd<160<>	1	1
160 <wd<220< th=""><th>1</th><th>2</th></wd<220<>	1	2
220 <wd<295< th=""><th>2</th><th>2</th></wd<295<>	2	2
295 <wd<355< th=""><th>3</th><th>3</th></wd<355<>	3	3
355 over 45	Х	X

Use Östergarnsholm, data





Water-side homogeneity





Use Östergarnsholm, data

Drag coefficient



Below 10m/s:

Higher drag coefficient in the coastal sector – younger waves giver larger drag



UPPSALA UNIVERSITET

Use Östergarnsholm, data, sensible heat flux

Upward fall and winter Downward spring and summer. Differences in seasonal cycle between coastal and open sea





UPPSALA UNIVERSITET

Use Östergarnsholm, data, latent heat flux (evaporation)

Larger over coastal zone.

Different seasonal cycle for coastal and open sea.





6,0

800

400

200

0

pCO₂ (ppm) 600

Use Östergarnsholm, data

Flux of carbon dioxide

Different seasonal cycle, for different sectors





Use Östergarnsholm, data



Choise of sectors - reasonable



Conclusions

- Land-based marine micrometeorological data should be defined based on magnitude of land disturbance:
 - Open sea
 - Coastal
 - Shore area
- For marine data causion is needed regarding:
 - Salt contamination
 - Upwind disturbances
- At Östergarnsholm clear differences in fluxes are seen between coastal and open sea data.



Thank you!

